

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. F 6130.US.P1 89/110,720 07/07/98 BILLING-MEDEL **EXAMINER** HM12/0809 023492 ZITOMER, S ARBOTT LABORATORIES ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DEPT. 377 - AP6D-2 100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD ABBOTT PARK IL 60064-6050 1655 DATE MAILED: 08/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proce ding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/110,720

Examiner

Stephanie Zitomer

Art Unit 1655

BILLING-MEDEL et al.

	The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address
There reject allows	EPLY FILED <u>Aug 2, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ence; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	\boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ex ap se	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the illing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🛭	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>May 2, 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. 🗓	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: New issues: "complete complements" and "degenerate coding sequences" raise new issues of written
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: New issues: "complete complements" and "degenerate coding sequences" raise new issues of written description under 112/1 and definition or lack thereof, antecedent basis under 112/2.
4. 🗆	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: New issues: "complete complements" and "degenerate coding sequences" raise new issues of written description under 112/1 and definition or lack thereof, antecedent basis under 112/2. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a
4 . □ 5 . □	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: New issues: "complete complements" and "degenerate coding sequences" raise new issues of written description under 112/1 and definition or lack thereof, antecedent basis under 112/2. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: New issues: "complete complements" and "degenerate coding sequences" raise new issues of written description under 112/1 and definition or lack thereof, antecedent basis under 112/2. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: New issues: "complete complements" and "degenerate coding sequences" raise new issues of written description under 112/1 and definition or lack thereof, antecedent basis under 112/2. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: New issues: "complete complements" and "degenerate coding sequences" raise new issues of written description under 112/1 and definition or lack thereof, antecedent basis under 112/2. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11-14, 38, 39, and 49
4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. ☒	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: New issues: "complete complements" and "degenerate coding sequences" raise new issues of written description under 112/1 and definition or lack thereof, antecedent basis under 112/2. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) filedavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: